National Association of State Attorneys has appointed a Remote Notarization Task Force April 2016

<http://www.nass.org/nass-initiatives/nass-remote-notarization-task-force/>

Issue about whether the out of wallet verifications are compliant with the Federal Bridge Certification Authority Standards.

U.S.C.A. Const. Art. IV § 1

Section 1. Full Faith and Credit

**Section 1.** Full Faith and Credit shall be given in each State to the public Acts, Records, and judicial Proceedings of every other State. And the Congress may by general Laws prescribe the Manner in which such Acts, Records and Proceedings shall be proved, and the Effect thereof.

This clause does not insure unlimited extraterritorial recognition of all statutes or of any statute under all circumstances.  [Yellow Cab Transit Co. v. Overcash, C.C.A.8 (Mo.) 1942, 133 F.2d 228](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1943120198&pubNum=0000350&originatingDoc=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&refType=RP&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem).  See, also, [Klaxon Co. v. Stentor Electric Mfg. Co., Del.1941, 61 S.Ct. 1020, 313 U.S. 487, 85 L.Ed. 1477](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1941124504&pubNum=0000708&originatingDoc=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&refType=RP&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem), certiorari denied [62 S.Ct. 1284, 316 U.S. 685, 86 L.Ed. 1757](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1942200607&pubNum=0000708&originatingDoc=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&refType=RP&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem).[States](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/360/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem)Key Number[5(2)](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/360k5(2)/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem)

That a sister state's statutes are considered public acts under this clause does not require enforcement of every right conferred by statute of another state, nor insure unlimited extra-territorial recognition of all statutes or of any statute under all circumstances.  [Black Hills Packing Co. v. S. D. Stockgrowers Ass'n, D.C.S.D.1975, 397 F.Supp. 622](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1975106898&pubNum=0000345&originatingDoc=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&refType=RP&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem).[Statutes](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/361/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem)Key Number[1415](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/361k1415/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem)

Full Faith and Credit Clause does not require a state to substitute for its own statute, applicable to persons and events within it, the statute of another state reflecting a conflicting and opposed policy.  [Franchise Tax Bd. of California v. Hyatt, U.S.Nev.2016, 136 S.Ct. 1277](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=2038691269&pubNum=0000708&originatingDoc=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&refType=RP&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem).[States](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/360/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem)Key Number[5(2)](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/360k5(2)/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem)

This clause does not automatically compel forum state to subordinate its own statutory policy to conflicting public act of another state, and it is for United States Supreme Court to choose in each case between competing public policies involved.  [Hughes v. Fetter, U.S.Wis.1951, 71 S.Ct. 980, 341 U.S. 609, 95 L.Ed. 1212](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1951117744&pubNum=0000708&originatingDoc=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&refType=RP&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem).[States](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/360/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem)Key Number[5(2)](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/360k5(2)/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem) ( Wisconsin Supreme Court judgment affirmed lower court decision holding that Wisconsin statute, which creates right of action only for deaths caused in that state, establishes local public policy against Wisconsin's entertaining suits brought under the wrongful death acts of other states- US Supreme Court upheld)

This clause may not be used to compel one state to substitute the statutes of other states for its own statutes dealing with a subject matter concerning which it is competent to legislate.  [State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company v. Duel, U.S.Wis.1945, 65 S.Ct. 856, 324 U.S. 887, 89 L.Ed. 1436](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1944201293&pubNum=0000708&originatingDoc=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&refType=RP&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem).  See, also, [Pacific Employers Ins. Co. v. Industrial Accident Commission of State of California, Cal.1939, 59 S.Ct. 629, 306 U.S. 493, 83 L.Ed. 940](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1939126140&pubNum=0000708&originatingDoc=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&refType=RP&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem);  [Sun Oil Co. v. Wortman, U.S.Kan.1988, 108 S.Ct. 2117, 486 U.S.717, 100 L.Ed.2d 743](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1988078108&pubNum=0000708&originatingDoc=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&refType=RP&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem" \o "Sun Oil Co. v. Wortman, U.S.Kan.1988, 108 S.Ct. 2117, 486 U.S.717, 100 L.Ed.2d 743).

Each state has constitutional authority to make its own law with respect to persons and events within its borders, and this clause does not ordinarily require a state to substitute for its own law the conflicting law of another state even though that law is of controlling force in the courts of that state with respect to the same persons and events.  [Magnolia Petroleum Co. v. Hunt, U.S.La.1943, 64 S.Ct. 208, 320 U.S. 430, 88 L.Ed. 149](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1943121212&pubNum=0000708&originatingDoc=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&refType=RP&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem), rehearing denied [64 S.Ct. 483, 321 U.S. 801, 88 L.Ed. 1088](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1944200508&pubNum=0000708&originatingDoc=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&refType=RP&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem).  See, also, [Pacific Employers Ins. Co. v. Industrial Accident Commission of State of California, Cal.1939, 59 S.Ct. 629, 306 U.S. 493, 83 L.Ed. 940](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1939126140&pubNum=0000708&originatingDoc=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&refType=RP&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem).[States](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/360/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem)Key Number[5(2)](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/360k5(2)/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem)

This clause does not compel the courts of one state to subordinate the local policy of that state, as respects its domiciliaries, to the statutes of any other state.  [Williams v. State of North Carolina, U.S.N.C.1942, 63 S.Ct. 207, 317 U.S. 287, 87 L.Ed. 279](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1942123331&pubNum=0000708&originatingDoc=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&refType=RP&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem).[States](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/360/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem)Key Number[5(2)](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/360k5(2)/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem)

Every state has authority, under the Constitution, to establish laws through both its judicial and its legislative arms which are controlling on its inhabitants and domestic affairs, and, when it is demanded in the domestic forum that the operation of those laws be supplanted by statute of another state, that forum is not bound, apart from this clause, to yield to the demand, and the law of neither can by its own force determine the choice of the law for the other.  [Pink v. A.A.A. Highway Exp., U.S.Ga.1941, 62 S.Ct. 241, 314 U.S. 201, 86 L.Ed. 152](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1941124810&pubNum=0000708&originatingDoc=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&refType=RP&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem), rehearing denied [62 S.Ct. 477, 314 U.S. 716, 86 L.Ed. 570](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1942200457&pubNum=0000708&originatingDoc=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&refType=RP&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem).[Courts](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/106/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem)Key Number[8](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/106k8/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem)[States](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/360/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem)Key Number[5(1)](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/360k5(1)/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem)

The full faith and credit clause does not automatically compel a state to subordinate its own contract laws to the laws of another state in which a contract happens to have been formally executed, and where a contract affects people of several states, each may have interests that leave it free to enforce its own contract policies.  [Watson v. Employers Liability Assur. Corp., U.S.La.1954, 75 S.Ct. 166, 348 U.S. 66, 99 L.Ed. 74](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1954121389&pubNum=0000708&originatingDoc=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&refType=RP&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem), rehearing denied [75 S.Ct. 289, 348 U.S. 921, 99 L.Ed. 722](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1955200011&pubNum=0000708&originatingDoc=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&refType=RP&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem).[States](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/360/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem)Key Number[5(2)](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Browse/Home/KeyNumber/360k5(2)/View.html?docGuid=N9E5AB8409DFA11D8A63DAA9EBCE8FE5A&originationContext=notesOfDecisions&contextData=%28sc.Search%29&transitionType=NotesOfDecisionItem)